

#### Effective stakeholder engagement in NAMA development and implementation

18.30 – 20.00, 10 June 2015, Bonn Climate Change Conference, Room Bonn III (72)

### Measuring sustainable development in NAMAs

Karen Holm Olsen UNEP DTU Partnership







## Outline:

- Objectives of the research project 'Measuring SD in NAMAs'
- Results of literature review overview of approaches
- Results of stakeholder interviews
- Elements of a framework for assessing SD in NAMAs





## 'Measuring SD in NAMAs'

- a NAMA Partnership WG SD collaboration between UNEP DTU, IISD, UNDP and UNFCCC





# Objective of the Research Project 'Measuring SD in NAMAs', Phase 1

**Aim:** To improve quantitative and qualitative measurement of the SD outcomes of NAMAs - enhancing understanding of how NAMAs can contribute to meeting national development goals.

**Outcomes:** Enhanced understanding of the expectations and needs of stakeholders in measuring SD in NAMAs; improved knowledge of early action and lessons learned on measurement of SD in NAMAs through an examination of tools, frameworks and indicators and of how these actions/tools meet the needs of various stakeholders.

**Outputs:** Literature review, interviews, criteria for NAMA SD Framework, final report





### Results of literature review





## Overview of approaches to measure s SD co-benefits in CDM and NAMAs

	CDM SD Tool	A co-benefits approach to NAMAs	DIA Visual	Gold Standard	South Pole
Data	CDM Project Design Document (PDD)	Technology options - Stakeholder prioritization	Technology options - Expert judgement and available data	Categories of CDM projects	Empirical data for waste projects
Method	SD indicators - qualitative description	Multi Criteria Analysis (MCA)	SD indicators - structured prioritization	Monetary valuation - transfer pricing	Valuation - willingness to pay
Key stakeholder	CDM Project developer	NAMA developer	LEDS/NAMA developer	Experts	Experts

## CDM SD Tool



# UNEP

Source: Approved at CDM EB70: https://www.research.net/s/SD\_tool\_vers7







### Example of SDC report: - air quality Improved cook stoves programme in India

		Slightly	Partly	Highly	N/A
Air	Reducing SOx	•			
	Reducing NOx	•			
	Reducing Fly ash			•	
	Reducing suspended particulate matter (SPM)			•	
	Reducing Non Methane Volatile Organic Compounds (NMVOCs)	•			
	Reducing Noise Pollution				•

	Indicator	Specification	Extent	
	The CDM PoA improves air quality by reducing air pollutants as follows:			
	SOx	Due to complete combustion of biomass less smoke is released into the atmosphere which reduces the Sox emissions.	Slight	
	NOx	Less smoke results in reduction of NOx emissions.	Slight	
Air	Fly ash emissions	The efficient combustion process in the improved cook stoves leads to lower the fly ash and its associated emissions into the atmosphere.	High	





### Example 1: CDM SD Tool applied to NAMAs

NAMA	Environmental	Social	Economical	Institutional	Transformational
Chile:	Forest management	Gender equality	Economic alternative	Improvements in land titling	
Implementation of a			for owners of	processes	
National Forestry	Biodiversity		degraded land		
and Climate Change				Sub-national reference levels	
Strategy	Afforestation		Access to participate	and MRV systems to include	
(support for			in the forestry	indicators related to	
implementation)	Restoration of		business and in	adaptation	
	natural forests		carbon markets		
				Platform for the Generation	
	Generation			and Trading of Forest Carbon	
	of environmental			Credits	
	assets				
				Social and environmental	
				safeguards are fully	
				considered	
Uruguay:		Testing laboratories	Strengthen the	Conditions for holding a	Goal to have at least
First introduction of		Ť	assembly and	competitive process for the	50% of the national
Photovoltaic Solar		Training professionals	maintenance of the	incorporation of new plants	energy supply mix based
Energy in the		<u> </u>	national solar network	by private companies	on renewable sources
national electrical					
grid				Capacity building support in	At least 90% of the
(support for				the regulator organism and	electrical grid supported
implementation)				the Public Electric Utility	by renewable sources
				,	-
				Technical regulatory	
				framework for this resource	





## Results of stakeholder interviews



## Objective, methods & data



**Objective:** To understand the different *stakeholder perspectives* of developing country governments, donors, private sector, civil society, investors and technical assistance providers to measure SD in NAMAs. Specifically, the aim is to understand stakeholder needs, learn about current practices, approaches and challenges based on early efforts and explore perspectives, priorities and preferences.

**Methods:** *Survey* to a broad group of NAMA stakeholders and *In-depth interviews* with key experts.

**Data:** <u>The survey</u> has been circulated to <u>2056 people</u> in Oct. 2014. The response rate is 16,4 % with 338 answers to the survey. Eight <u>in-depth</u> <u>interviews</u> have been conducted with 2 developing country government perspectives, 2 NGO perspectives, 2 private sector perspective and 2 donor perspective.





### Survey results - experience with NAMA development





#### **Interview results – needs, priorities and challenges**



	SD goals	Needs for SD assessment	Approaches/MRV	Challenges
Public	<i>'Co-benefits'</i> shall reflect dev. goals for SD – nat. or sub-nat and incl. negative impacts	Development first - to align mitigation activities. A national certification scheme to ensure goals are reached	Ex-ante assessment most important. Ex-post also needed with independent review, e.g. a common registry. Stakeholders involved at all stages.	An <i>international NAMA</i> <i>SD Tool</i> - similar to the CDM SD tool - may be useful but more flexibility is needed. No obligations
Private	SD co-benefits are the <i>'social goods'</i> of investments. NAMAs are driven by the value of the social goods/co-benefits.	The monetary benefit of the social good - <i>unit based</i> <i>measurement</i> (X per unit) - is needed to identify the willingness to pay for mitigation actions	A rigorous M&E process is needed . Clear, measurable indicators –for planning and investment/funding. Accreditation useful.	The key challenge is to establish <i>government</i> <i>support</i> for quantification
Civil society	In NAMAs <i>GHG reduction</i> <i>is a co-benefit</i> . A need for coordination of SD goals between different levels and activities.	Also measure <i>negative</i> <i>impacts</i> . The key needs is <i>a</i> <i>safeguard system</i> - anything that does not harm is good. Standardized (UNFCCC) guidelines with flexibility to certify SD impacts.	Public participation is a key element of SD assessment and may be a goal in itself. Qualitative and quantitative assessments are both needed to prioritize and show a social returns.	Ensuring public participation is a major challenge. The key need is a structured way to assess SD in NAMAs – this is currently lacking
Internat. agency	Development benefits are the driver. Climate change abatement is the co-benefit.	SD assessment is important to governments to justify public spending. Certification of SD is a good idea for visibility.	M&E should not be a burden to countries.	There is no need for a tool that forces indicators on activities.



### Elements of a framework





# **Guiding principles**

- Not prescriptive focus on what to do, not how to do it, e.g. definitions of sustainable vs. unsustainable are nationally determined
- Transparent all assessments whether qualitative, quantitative or monetary shall be publicly available for review at any
- Consistent indicator based to deliver comparable and structured information about SD co-benefits and negative impacts for all NAMAs across all sectors
- Credible independent review shall ensure that methods are valid and results are reliable
- Stakeholder participation is a right and facilitates good climate governance
- Easy to use the framework should not require much extra effort than is currently practiced for M&E of development outcomes unless required for particular needs to sustainable development



#### **Elements of SD assessment framework in NAMA Cycle**





		••••		
Steps	Element	Description		
Ex-Ante Assessment	1. SD criteria & indicators	Identify and describe SD impacts – using the CDM SD taxonomy with one new dimension		
	2. Transformational change	Indicators of the processes of change for a paradigm shift to low carbon and sustainable development		
As	3. Quantification & Monetization	Units of measurement to track SD impacts towards SD goals are identified and methods to estimate their monetary value are applied		
teps	4. Alignment with SD goals	SD impact analysis and contribution to SD goals at global, national, and other relevant levels		
Procedural steps	5. Stakeholder Participation	Guidelines for stakeholder involvement throughout NAMA design and implementation		
	6. No-Harm Safeguards	Compliance with no-harm safeguards to avoid or mitigate negative impacts		
Ex-post Assessment	7. Monitoring & Reporting	Develop a monitoring plan; How are indicators monitored, by whom, how often? Describe quality assurance procedures. Report the monitoring data to relevant stakeholders at regular intervals.		
	8. Verification	Independent review of methods and data shall be provided when needed to ensure SD impacts are credible and transparent		
	9. Certification	Public, private or civil society players may want to define standards for certification of units of GHG reductions with SD impacts		

#### NAMA Sustainable Development Taxonomy







### Phase 2 activities and outcome

#### Activities:

- Develop a comprehensive framework for assessing sustainable development in NAMAs
- Pilot and apply the framework to NAMAs in various sectors and countries

#### **Outcome:**

 NAMA SD tool developed and applied across sectors. Lessons learned from practical application presented as guidance to countries.





## Thanks!

