

Name of submitter: Eva Filzmoser

Affiliated organization of submitter (if any): Carbon Market Watch

Email address of submitter: eva.filzmoser@carbonmarketwatch.org

Date of submission: 15 April 2014

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
1	7.5. Global stakeholder consultation (para 34)	te	Instructions for submitting comments are currently unclear and the upload tool is not user-friendly. Numerous national and international civil society organisations have reported having trouble when trying to upload their comments to the UNFCCC Website. For this reason, organisations, conscious of the 30-day commenting period often decide to submit their comments by email in order to ensure they are received before the deadline. In many cases these emailed comments end up not being accepted as valid because they were not submitted through the website. We therefore suggest developing a clear step-by-step guide for submitting comments and accept the submission of comments by email. Helping to ensure the timely submission of comments from important stakeholders that have access to local information concerning the validation requirements of project activities would further increase the integrity of the validation process.	<u>The DOE shall accept and acknowledge receipt of comments received through the global stakeholder consultation and through locally feasible means and in the language(s) of the host country.</u>
2	7.5. Global stakeholder consultation (para 35)	te	To increase transparency received by the DOE shall be uploaded to the UNFCCC CDM website.	The DOE shall take into account all the comments received during the validation of the proposed project activity <u>and upload them to the UNFCCC CDM website.</u>
3	7.5. Global stakeholder consultation (para 36)	te	If comments submitted during the public commenting period indicate that the project activity does not comply with CDM requirements, the DOE shall request further clarification from the entity that submitted the comment. However, currently the DOE is not required to enter into a dialogue with parties, stakeholders or NGOs. In the absence of such a requirement for the DOE to engage with such comments, the DOE runs the risk of approving potentially harmful CDM projects by not investigating comments. We recommend that guidelines be developed which specify how DOEs must respond to stakeholder concerns.	If comments indicate that the proposed project activity does not comply with the CDM requirements and are not substantiated, then the DOE shall request further clarification from the entity providing the comment. <u>Upon request, the DOE shall enter into a dialogue with Parties, stakeholders or NGOs, that comment on the CDM requirements.</u> If no additional information or substantiation is provided in response to a request for clarification, the DOE shall proceed to assess the comments as originally provided.

Call for public inputs – Template for inputs			Document: Draft CDM validation and verification standard (VVS)	
#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
4	7.5.1. Reporting requirement (para 37)	te	This validation requirement is very unclear. We would propose that the DOE should describe the actions that were taken to address the comments received.	The DOE shall <u>describe the actions taken to address the comments received during the validation process.</u>
5	7.8. Contribution to sustainable development (para 50)	ge	To increase transparency, the DOE should describe what sustainable development indicators applied.	The DOE shall <u>describe the sustainable development indicators applied by the DNA to consider whether the proposed CDM project activity assists the host Party in achieving sustainable development and assess whether national rules with reporting requirements about sustainable development exist.</u>
6	7.8. Contribution to sustainable development (para 51)	ge	To increase transparency, the DOE should describe what sustainable development indicators applied as well as if and how they are monitored.	The DOE shall determine whether the letter of approval by the DNA of the host Party confirms the contribution of the proposed CDM project activity to the sustainable development of the host Party, <u>which sustainable development indicators are applied and whether the project activity has chosen parameters to monitor the sustainable development benefits.</u>

Note: Please add rows as necessary.