

## **Elements of carry-over proposals**

#### Impact under different scenarios

**Johannes Gütschow** 

December 1, 2012



Contact: johannes.guetschow (at) pik-potsdam.de

## **Identify influential elements**

- Indicators
  - Average emissions 2013 to 2020
  - Surplus left after CP2
- Consider three example scenarios

#### • Based on a model used for two recent studies

- Hot topic: AAU surplus. Political implications of the long-term effect of surplus from the first and second Kyoto period, Vieweg et al. 2012
  - <u>http://www.climateanalytics.org/sites/default/files/attachments/publications/Hot%20topic\_AAU%20surplus\_2012.pdf</u>
- Influence of rules governing surplus emission allowances on developed countries emissions, Chen et al., 2012
  - <u>www.primap.org</u> -> publications



#### **Elements of the proposals**

- Restricting use of CP1 carry-over
  - Domestic use only in G77+China
  - Restriction on usable amount in Swiss proposal
- Cancellation of CP1 carry-over
  - At the end of CP2 in both proposals
- CP2 surplus
  - G77+China
    - Case 1: cancel CP2 units above 2012 levels at beginning of CP2
    - Case 2: cancel surplus emerging from them at end of CP2
  - Swiss: Cancel at end of CP2
- CERs
  - Carry-over of up to 2.5% of initial Assigned Amount and full use



# Scenario 1: current QELROs, BAU projections

- Business as usual (BAU) is 16% below 1990 levels in 2013-2020
- QELROs are 18% below 1990 levels
- With all proposals emissions can stay at BAU
- No carry-over: 17% below 1990
  - 500Mt of LULUCF credits projected
- Surplus left in 2020 (excluding CERs)
  - Current KP rules: 7.2Gt
  - G77+China: case 1: 1.7Gt, case 2: 1.8Gt
  - Swiss: OGt
- Influential elements for post 2020
  - CP1+CP2 surplus cancellation at end of CP2



### Scenario 2: Increasing ambition and BAU

- Business as usual higher and is 12% below 1990 levels
- QELROs are 20% below 1990 levels
- Swiss: emissions 15% below 1990
- G77+China: emissions can stay at BAU
- Influential elements for CP2
  - Amount restriction for CP1 surplus use



## **Scenario 3: increased ambition + Ukraine joins**

- Business as usual is 17% below 1990 levels in 2013-2020
- QELROs are 16% below 1990 levels
- G77+China case 1: 18% below 1990 -> increase in Ambition
- Other proposals: emissions can stay at BAU
- Surplus left in 2020 (excluding CERs)
  - Current KP rules: 9.5Gt
  - All proposals: 0Gt
- Influential elements for CP2
  - CP2 surplus cancellation at begin of CP2
- Influential elements for post 2020
  - CP1+CP2 Surplus cancellation at end of CP2



#### **Conclusions**

- Effect of proposals depends on scenario
  - With current BAU estimates cancellation at CP2 end has the largest effect: governs if surplus is available after 2020
  - If party with QELRO above BAU joins KP, cancellation at begin of CP2 affects CP2 emissions
  - If ambition is increased, a cap on the amount of usable surplus becomes an important element for CP2 emissions
- Both the G77+China and the Swiss proposal reduce surplus significantly compared to current KP rules. CP2 emissions depend on scenario

A carry-over proposal that is robust under scenario changes needs to incorporate all elements.

