UNFCCC and ICAO Responsibilities for CORSIA

CO, RSIA

United Nations Fromework Convention on Climate Change

Kelsey Perlman, Aviation Policy Officer Carbon Market Watch 10 May 2017



The buck stops here...and there.

One institution alone cannot solve these issues: ICAO, UNFCCC

Clarify tasks and how to share responsibility on:

- Credit quality
- Registries
- Transparency (of information/input)
- Ambition



Transparency for markets

There must be enough information to assure:

- Confidence among participants that transfers are of high integrity (Credit quality and Registries)
- Confidence in **comparable treatment** among peers (flow of information)
- **Ease of understanding** by the general public and the private sector (credibility, compliance)



Credit quality

ISSUE	RESPONSIBILITY
ICAO: Have potentially eligible offset programs resolved the issue of avoiding double counting?	ICAO: CORSIA resolution mandates no-double counting -must operationalize
UNFCCC: avoiding double counting for UNFCCC units.	UNFCCC: Phase out of CDM. Operationalize no double counting for SDM.

The bottom line: With offset programs accounting in limbo, both the buyer and the seller need to cover their bases.



Registries

ISSUE	RESPONSIBILITY
ICAO: Embarking on the creation of a compliance scheme; potentially with multiple programs?	ICAO: Showing all information necessary to make the system work (airline emissions, obligations, units used) all audited.
UNFCCC: The future of the International Transaction Log has not been discussed under the Transparency/Accounting Framework.	UNFCCC: NDC guidance: clarify adjustments to NDCs when used outside of NDCs. Transparency Framework: state progress reports, market registry discussion

The bottom line: Everyone needs to know how they're accounting.



Transparency

ISSUE	RESPONSIBILITY
ICAO: Rules and information on CORSIA are not publicly accessible.	ICAO: Follow best practice: Public rules and modalities of markets mechanisms
UNFCCC: Article 6 talks - Observers removed in Marrakesh, Article 6 discussions are closed	UNFCCC: Embody the principle of Transparency, re-open up markets discussions to the public

The bottom line: (Environmental) markets have harmed people and the environment when they don't have correct oversight and transparency.



Transparency, Ambition and Achieving climate goals

ISSUE	RESPONSIBILITY
ICAO: Aviation's goal is not consistent with 1.5 or 2	ICAO: Input to the Facilitative Dialogue, ratchet in 2022, present results to the Global Stocktake
UNFCCC: Lack of transparency of accounting led to questionable compliance under KP, CDM has not delivered on environmental integrity	UNFCCC: How to quantify, account, and avoid perverse incentives against a rapid ratcheting of ambition

The bottom line: Proper ambition requires transparency of effort and implementation.



RECAP

- Avoiding-double counting is critical in Accounting (4.13), APA discussions on the Transparency Framework and Guidance for NDCs, and SBSTA Article 6 discussions the UNFCCC, in light of eminent CORSIA transfers. ICAO must act now to prevent all forms of double counting.
- Both institutions need to prioritize robust international registries and clarify how the CORSIA central registry and Paris international transaction log (?) interact
- This information needs to be **public, transparent** and **independently verified...**

<u>Transparency is the backbone of Paris and the</u> <u>key to achieving 1.5!!!!</u>



Thank you!

Kelsey PerlmanPolicy Officer AviationCarbon Market Watch

Rue d'Albanie 117, B-1060 Brussels, Belgium Tel: +32 2 335 36 68 / Mobile: +49 157 868 00855 Email: aki.kachi@carbonmarketwatch.org Web: www.carbonmarketwatch.org

