EU ETS and Carbon Leakage ETUC views



Benjamin Denis ETUC 15/03/2016

Outline

- **1.** ETUC and climate change
- 2. Key demands on EU ETS
- **3.** EU ETS: a driver of job losses?
- 4. A global economy without a global carbon price
- **5.** How to decarbonize the EU in a global economy?
- 6. Conclusions



1. ETUC and climate change

No jobs on a dead planet! Our jobs depend on our planet! Just Transition!

- 1. Creation of quality jobs through investment in Energy Efficiency, Renewables, innovation in low-carbon industrial technologies
- 2. Education, training and skills
- **3.** Strong social protection systems
- 4. Predictability and worker's participation
- **5.** Respect for workers' rights

2. Key demands on EU ETS

- 1. The European Commission must develop an integrated and coherent sustainable industrial strategy and should set up a policy platform to that aim
- 2. After Paris COP 21, the EU must make an in-depth assessment of the risk of carbon leakage and the policy instruments it has to effectively tackle it
- **3.** The EU must create a Just Transition Fund to support workers which would be negatively impacted by the transition to a low-carbon economy
- 4. The EU must effectively implement the Innovation Fund and the Modernisation Fund and involve social partners in their management
- 5. At least 90 % of ETS auctioning revenues should be used by Member States to support activities which are clearly climate-related.

Full position: <u>https://www.etuc.org/documents/position-structural-reform-eu-emissions-trading-system#.VuknH8p44mc</u>

3. EU ETS: a driver of job losses?

- Manufacturing sectors (NACE rev.2) employ 30 million people (Eurostat 2012) but 6 millions of jobs have been lost since the 2009 crisis
- No evidence of carbon leakage and no evidence of offshoring of jobs outside of the EU because of its climate policy (OECD, European Commission)
- Restructurings in the EU (2003-2013) are mainly internal restructurings or consequences of bankruptcy whereas relocations only explain 2% of job losses: <u>https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/sites/default/files/ef_publication/field_ef_do_cument/ef1380en.pdf</u>
- Main drivers of job losses in manufacturing sectors are : long term economic cycles, shift in global economy, weak domestic demand and low level of investment, technology, overcapacity in certain sectors, dumping from third countries

4. A global economy without a global carbon price

- Paris Agreement : all countries should become carbon neutral in the second half of this century
- Paris Agreement is a universal but bottom-up agreement based on National Contributions (NDCs)
 - NDCs difficult to compare
 - No certainty that major economies will decarbonise at the same pace (see slide 7)
- Global stock take and linkage with other regional ETS could lead to further progress
- But in the meantime, the EU must avoid to decarbonise through offshoring of activities towards regions where carbon intensity of products is higher
- To reduce emissions from industries in a single region does not make any sense if emissions from similar industries are soaring elsewhere and if imported goods replace domestic production (see the example of steel on slides 9-11)



Figure 2.5 ▷ Energy-related CO₂ emissions per capita by selected region in the INDC Scenario and world average in the 450 Scenario, 2030

Source:

https://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/WEO2015SpecialReportonEnergyandClimateChange.pdf



Source: http://www.worldsteel.org

World Steel Exports have risen to a record high in 2015 on the back of Chinas Export Surge

Development of Global Steel Exports (Steel Mill Products, 2012 - 2015)



http://en.stahl-online.de/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/World-Steel-Exports 2015.png

Direct CO₂ emissions intensity of crude steel production



Source IEA/OECD Steel Committee

Process routes shares (BOF vs EAF) is crictical in assessing this indicator

5. How to decarbonize the EU in a global economy?

Offensive instruments

- Accelerate low-carbon innovation (R&D and industrial pilots)
- Innovation Fund
- European Fund for Strategic Investments
- Auctioning revenues collected at MS level
- Standards and norms (e.g. Ecodesign, circular economy)
- Skills strategies

Defensive instruments

- UNFCCC: enhance transparency and comparability of NDCs
- Free allocation
 - Sectors really exposed to a significant risk of CL
 - Benchmarks must reflect BAT
 - CL list based on real EUA price
- Mechanisms ensuring that all actors on the EU market face similar carbon price (ex: include importers in ETS)

Conclusions

- No evidence that ETS and EU climate policies have had a significant impact on offshoring of activities and related job losses in the past
- De-industrialisation and related job-losses have been the consequence of a set of complex and interplaying factors
- Current free-allocation system does not tackle these challenges and does not ensure effective investment in the low-carbon technologies
- A comprehensive strategy is urgently needed in order to accelerate the decarbonisation of the EU industry through investment and innovation, while ensuring a fair sharing of the burden within the EU as well as globally
- Decarbonisation can not mean de-industrialisation and low-carbon economy must be a desirable prospect for all!

Thank you for your attention

Contact: bdenis@etuc.org

