

Name of submitter: Eva Filzmoser

Affiliated organization of submitter (if any): Carbon Market Watch

Email address of submitter: eva.filzmoser@carbonmarketwatch.org

Date of submission: 15 April 2014

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
1	4.2.1. Submission of project design document (para 14 i)	TE	A summary report is often superficial. Since the full Environmental Impact Assessment needs to be conducted, the full assessment should be made public. Moreover, all large scale projects irrespective of national procedures shall require an environmental impact assessment;	A summary of The Environmental Impact Assessment report of the CDM project activity or PoA (if applicable)
2	4.2.1. Submission of project design document (new subpara to 14)	TE	It's difficult to follow the additionality determination without being able to access calculations of projects. Calculations with respect to confidentiality numbers should therefore be made public;	<u>(k) Calculations for the additionality assessment</u>
3	4.2.1. Submission of project design document (new subpara to 14)	TE	The current design of the PDD is very technical which makes it challenging for layman and local stakeholders to understand the project activity. The general description of the project should therefore include a non-technical summary of the project activity and a non-technical description of the project's EIA analysis including the project's projected scope, lifetime, adverse impacts and management plans, along with all other relevant information about the project;	<u>(l) A non-technical summary of the project in layman language and in the local language of the host country</u>
4	4.2.1. Submission of project design document (new subpara to 14)	TE	Current PDDs and validation reports only refer to annexes on how the local stakeholder consultations were conducted but the annexes are not available. Given that this is an essential requirement of the CDM and that it does not relate to confidential information, all details in relation to the local stakeholder consultation shall be made public.	<u>(m) Annexes about the documentation of the local stakeholder consultation, including list of invitees and participants</u>

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
5	4.2.1. Submission of project design document (new subpara to 17)	TE	It is difficult for concerned stakeholders to monitor the status of a project. Years can pass before a project moves to the next phase in the project cycle. There is currently no RSS feed for projects which have had their validation terminated or rejected and finding these projects on the UNFCCC website is difficult and time-consuming. Notice on the status of a project's validation should be given to stakeholders who have submitted their concerns through an automated system. This would enable them to review whether their concerns have been taken into account and thus improve the accountability and integrity of the validation process.	The period for submission of comments for global stakeholder consultation on the PDD or PoA-DD shall commence at midnight GMT subsequent to the publication of the PDD or PoA-DD. The CDM information system shall inform the DOE of the location of the PDD or PoA-DD on the UNFCCC CDM website <u>and publish the opening and closing dates and time of the period for submission of comments via an email notification system to subscribed stakeholders.</u>
6	4.2.2. Submission and treatment of public comments (para 20)	TE	The vast majority of stakeholders in CDM host countries do not speak English fluently, thus may not be in a position to submit comments in English. However, our experience is that comments by stakeholders that were submitted in languages other than English were not accepted by the UNFCCC Secretariat. Only allowing comments written in English creates an undue and unfair obstacle to non-English speaking stakeholders. Stakeholder comments should thus be accepted in the language(s) spoken in the project area.	Parties, stakeholders ² and UNFCCC accredited observers may submit comments, in English <u>or in the local language of the host country</u> , on the validation requirements for the proposed CDM project activity or PoA to the DOE through the secretariat via a dedicated interface on the UNFCCC CDM website. The submitters of the comments shall provide the name and contact details of the individual or organization on whose behalf the comments are submitted. The DOE shall check the authenticity of this information <u>and provide an English summary of the comment received.</u>
7	4.3. Reporting of validation status (para 22)	GE	Negative opinions from DOEs should be made public. This is essential information in case the project is applying again for registration with an amended project scope.	(b) The DOE has issued a negative validation opinion, <u>including the publication of the opinion;</u>
8	5.1.2. Processing request for registration (para 71)	GE	The summary note on the request for registration shall be made public.	The secretariat shall, subject to the guidance of the Board, prepare, send to the Board <u>and make public on the UNFCCC CDM website</u> a summary note on the request for registration within 14 days of the date of publication of the request for registration. A

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
9	5.2.1. Commencement of review (para 79a)		It is difficult for concerned stakeholders to monitor the status of a project. Years can pass before a project moves to the next phase in the project cycle. There is currently no RSS feed for projects which have had their validation terminated or rejected and finding these projects on the UNFCCC website is difficult and time-consuming. Notice on the status of a project's validation should be given to stakeholders who have submitted their concerns through an automated system. This would enable them to review whether their concerns have been taken into account and thus improve the accountability and integrity of the validation process.	(a) Notify the project participants or the coordinating/managing entity, the DOE that validated the proposed CDM project activity or PoA, <u>and the stakeholders that submitted comments during the global stakeholder consultation</u> , that a Party involved in a proposed CDM project activity or PoA, or at least three members of the Board have requested a review of the request for registration;
10	5.2.2. Assessment (para 85)	TE	It is possible that additional information appears after the request for registration on issues not covered in the scope of the request for review. The PCP should therefore take into account that the scope of review be extended after the review has commenced.	The secretariat shall conduct an assessment of the request for registration in the context of the reasons for the request for review provided in the “CDM project activity/programme of activities registration request review form” (F-CDM-RR) and the CDM requirements, taking into account the responses from the project participants or the coordinating/managing entity, and the DOE <u>and an extension of the review by a member of the CDM Executive Board.</u>

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
11	5.2.2. Assessment (para 86)	TE	It is possible that additional information appears after the request for registration on issues not covered in the scope of the request for review. The PCP should therefore take into account that the scope of review be extended after the review has commenced.	Concurrently and independently from the secretariat's assessment referred to in paragraph 85 above, the RIT Team established in accordance with paragraph 79(c) above shall conduct an assessment of the request for registration in accordance with the terms of reference of the RIT, and in the context of the reasons for the request for review provided in the "CDM project activity/programme of activities registration request review form" (F-CDM-RR) and the CDM requirements, taking into account the responses of the project participants or the coordinating/managing entity, and the DOE <u>and an extension of the review by a member of the CDM Executive Board.</u>
12	5.2.2. Assessment (para 89)		Once a decision has been taken to either register or reject a request for registration, it is not possible to follow the rationale of the decision. While case specific matters are being discussed in private, the final rulings should be made public.	If a proposed decision is to reject the request for registration, then the assessment report shall include a proposed ruling. The proposed ruling <u>shall be published at the UNFCCC CDM Website and shall contain an explanation of the reasons and rationale for the proposed decision, including, but not limited to:</u>
13	5.2.2. Assessment (para 92)		Once a decision has been taken to either register or reject a request for registration, it is not possible to follow the rationale of the decision. While case specific matters are being discussed in private, the final rulings and the assessment reports should be made public.	The secretariat shall inform the Board of the availability of each assessment report, and make each assessment report available to the Board, together with any responses from the project participants or the coordinating/managing entity, and the DOE and any revision to the PDD and/or validation report and other relevant documentation. <u>The assessment reports by the Secretariat and the RIT Team shall be made public at the UNFCCC CDM website.</u>

#	Para No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Type of input ge = general te = technical ed = editorial	Comment on the paragraph	Proposed change (including proposed text, if any)
14	5.2.4. Finalization and implementation of the ruling (para 101)		Once a decision has been taken to either register or reject a request for registration, it is not possible to follow the rationale of the decision. While case specific matters are being discussed in private, the final rulings and the assessment reports should be made public.	Once approved by the Chair of the Board, the secretariat shall immediately make the proposed ruling available to the Board. The proposed ruling shall become the final ruling of the Board 10 days after the date when the proposed ruling was made available to the Board, unless a member of the Board objects to the proposed ruling. <u>The final ruling shall be made public at the UNFCCC CDM website.</u>
15	7.2. Reporting of status of registered project activity or programme (para 182)		Once a decision has been taken to either register or reject a request for registration, it is not possible to follow the rationale of the decision. While case specific matters are being discussed in private, the final rulings and the assessment reports should be made public.	(b) The DOE has issued a negative verification opinion, <u>including the publication of the opinion;</u>

Note: Please add rows as necessary.