

# SUBMISSION TO ANNOTATED AGENDA OF THE $77^{TH}$ CDM EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

7 February 2014

Carbon Market Watch, a project by Nature Code, welcomes the opportunity to provide input to the CDM Executive Board on *Issues included in the annotated agenda of the 77the meeting of the CDM Executive Board and its annexes.* Please find our comments below.

## ACTIVITY **149:** IMPROVED TRANSPARENCY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CO-BENEFITS EVALUATION OF THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT TOOL

Activity 149 is based on <u>CMP.9 para 7</u> which states: Urges the Executive Board to expedite its work on evaluating the use of the voluntary sustainable development tool and to report on its findings to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its tenth session (December 2014);

Despite the fact that the CMP *urges* the CDM Executive Board to take, the work plan does not include a deliverable on this activity. It only includes information to be delivered at EB 78 and a concept to be delivered very late in the year at EB 81.

→ Carbon Market Watch strongly urges the Board to include a deliverable in its workplan that includes a thorough assessment of the voluntary sustainable development tool with recommendations on how it should be improved.

### ACTIVITY 228: DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDING TOOLS TO ASSIST DNAS IN MONITORING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

#### BENEFITS

Activity 228 is based on <u>CMP.9 para 8</u> which states: Requests the Executive Board to develop guiding tools to assist designated national authorities, upon the request of the host Party and on a voluntary basis, in monitoring the sustainable development benefits in its territory of clean development mechanism project activities and programmes of activities, recognizing that the use of such guiding tools is the prerogative of Parties and subject to the availability of funds from Parties included in Annex I;

Despite the fact that the work plan lists as a product a *Best practice guiding tools for the monitoring of sustainable development benefits,* the work plan does not include a deliverable on this activity. It only includes a concept to be delivered at EB 78.

→ Carbon Market Watch strongly urges the Board to include a deliverable in its workplan that includes a Best practice guiding tools for the monitoring of sustainable development benefits.

#### ACTIVITY 226: ANALYSIS OF THE NET MITIGATION POTENTIAL OF THE CDM

Despite the fact that the work plan lists as a product *Options for achieving net mitigation via the CDM*, the work plan does not include a deliverable on this activity. It only includes a concept to be delivered at EB 78.



→ Carbon Market Watch strongly urges the Board to include a deliverable in its workplan that includes a thorough assessment of *Options for achieving net mitigation via the CDM*.<sup>1</sup>

#### ACTIVITY 227: LOCAL STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION: PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF PRACTICES AND SUPPORT TO DNAS

Activity 227 is based on <u>CMP.9 para 20</u> which states: 20. Further requests the Executive Board, with the support of the secretariat, to collaborate with the Designated National Authorities Forum on collecting and making available, on the UNFCCC clean development mechanism website, information on practices conducted for local stakeholder consultations, and to provide technical assistance to designated national authorities, upon their request, for the development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation in their countries;

Despite the fact that the work plan lists as a product a *Best practice guidelines for conducting local stakeholder consultation*, the work plan does not include a deliverable on this activity. It only includes a concept to be delivered at EB 78.

→ Carbon Market Watch strongly urges the Board to include a deliverable in its workplan that includes information on practices to conduct local stakeholder consultations and technical assistance to DNAs for the development of guidelines for local stakeholder consultation in their countries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We also would like point out a recent research paper of the Stockholm Environment Institute on this topic: <u>Potential for International</u> <u>Offsets to Provide a Net Decrease of GHG Emissions</u>